1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
7	KIRSHA BI	A BROWN,) Case No. 2:11-cv-00392-PMP-PAL	
8		Plaintiff,	ORDER
9	vs.		(IFP App - Dkt. #1)
10	PRECISION OPINION,		
11		Defendant.	
12			
13	This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Application to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> (Dkt.		
14	#1) filed on March 14, 2011. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The court has reviewed Plaintiff's		
15	Application, and it is incomplete. Plaintiff has not provided a complete response to Item No. 3, nor has		
16	she answered Item Nos. 5, 6, 7, or 8 at all. As a result, the court cannot determine whether Plaintiff		
17	qualifies to proceed in forma pauperis.		
18	Accordingly,		
19	IT IS ORDERED:		
20	1.	1. Plaintiff's Application to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> (Dkt. #1) is DENIED WITHOUT	
21		PREJUDICE.	
22	2.	2. The Clerk of Court shall mail Plaintiff a blank Application to Proceed <i>In Forma</i>	
23		Pauperis.	
24	3.	3. Plaintiff shall have until April 15, 2011, in which to file a completed Application to	
25		Proceed In Forma Pauperis.	
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

Case 2:11-cv-00392-PMP-PAL Document 2 Filed 03/18/11 Page 2 of 2

Failure to comply with this Order will result in a recommendation to the District Judge 4. that this case be dismissed. Dated this 18th day of March, 2011. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE